

United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

No. 07-2310

IN RE: CARL E. OLSEN,
Petitioner.

Before
Lynch, Lipez and Howard,
Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

Entered: March 21, 2008

"[I]t is well-established that an extraordinary writ, such as ... a writ of mandamus, may not be used as a substitute for an appeal and will not lie if an appeal is an available remedy." In re Urohealth Sys., Inc. 252 F.3d 504, 507 (1st Cir. 2001). As petitioner Carl E. Olsen has an appeal available (Appeal No. 07-1883) in which to argue that the district court erred in not treating his filing as a petition for writ of coram nobis, this petition for writ of mandamus is denied.

By the Court:

Richard Cushing Donovan, Clerk.

By: **MARGARET CARTER**

Chief Deputy Clerk.

[cc: Carl Olsen, Margaret McGaughey]